

# **European Journal of Economics, Law and Politics, ELP**

*June 2021*

**European Scientific Institute, ESI**

*The content is peer-reviewed*

*June 2021 Edition Vol. 8, No. 2*

The contents of this journal do not necessarily reflect the opinion or position of the European Scientific Institute, neither is the European Scientific Institute nor any person acting on its behalf is responsible for the use of the information in this publication.

*ISSN 2518-3761*

## *About The Journal*

The European Journal of Economics, Law and Politics (ELP) is a peer- reviewed journal which accepts high quality research articles. It is a quarterly published international journal and is available to all researchers who are interested in publishing their scientific achievements. We welcome submissions focusing on theories, methods, and applications in economics, legal, and political sciences.

In the past few years, academicians from over 20 countries from Europe and around the globe have published their papers in the European Journal of Economics, Law and Politics.

Authors can publish their articles after a review by our editorial board. Our mission is to provide greater and faster flow of the newest scientific thought. ELP's role is to serve as a kind of bridge between researchers around the world. ELP is open to all researchers, regardless of their geographical origin, race, nationality, religion or gender, as long as they have an adequate scientific paper in the field of economics, legal, and political sciences.

ELP fully supports the open access and open science concept. The full content of the papers is available on ELP website and is free for usage.

***Jose Noronha Rodrigues***

*Managing Editor*

---

# International Editorial Board

**Julia Margarete Puaschunder**

Schwartz Center for Economic Policy Analysis, USA

**Keith Joseph Zukas**

University of Wisconsin-Whitewater, USA

**Jose Noronha Rodrigues**

University of the Azores, Portugal

**Editorial Committee Jeremiah**

**Ntaloi Ole Koshal**

United States International University – Africa, Kenya

**Robert N. Diotalevi**

Florida Gulf Coast University, USA

**Chithra Suresh**

Bahrain Institute of Banking and Finance (BIBF), Bahrain

**Amiya Kumar Mohapatra**

Apejay School of Management, New Delhi, India

**Mustafa Atahan Yilmaz**

Pamukkale University, Turkey

**Aderemi Timothy Ayomitunde**

Olabisi Onabanjo University, Nigeria

**Bonn O. Jonyo**

United States International University-Africa, Kenya

**Anil Jayantha Fernando**

University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Sri Lanka

**Bashar H. Malkawi**

University of Sharjah, United Arab Emirates

**Felix W.H. Chan**

University of Hong Kong

**Mariusz Zalucki**

AFM Krakow University, Poland

**Habib Kazzi**

Lebanese University, Lebanon

**Angelo, Roberto Gaglioti**

University Mediterranea of Reggio Calabria, Italy

**Iancu Elena-Ana**

Agora University of Oradea, Romania

**George Chiladze**

University of Georgia, Georgia

**Jacek Mazurkiewicz**

University of Wroclaw, Poland

**Christina Schachtner**

University of Klagenfurt, Austria

**Robert McGee**

Fayetteville State University, USA

**Angelo Viglianisi Ferraro**

Mediterranean University of Reggio Calabria, Italy

**Cristina Maria Coimbra Vieira**

University of Coimbra, Portugal

**Emilia Alaverdov**

Georgian Technical University, Georgia

**Sandra Dias Faria**

Azores University, Portugal

## ***TABLE OF CONTENTS***

<b>Microfinance Banks, Small and Medium Scale Enterprises and COVID-19 Pandemic in Nigeria .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<i>Bako Yusuf Adebola</i>	
<i>Oyegoke Adebisola Adebola</i>	
<i>Idowu Afolasade Florence</i>	
<i>Aderemi Timothy Ayomitunde</i>	
<b>FISCAL POLICY TRANSMISSION CHANNELS AND MACROECONOMIC VARIABLES PERFORMANCE IN NIGERIA .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<i>Jolayemi Lydia. Bose</i>	
<i>Akinlo Anthony Enisan</i>	

# Microfinance Banks, Small and Medium Scale Enterprises and COVID-19 Pandemic in Nigeria

*Bako Yusuf Adebola*

The Federal Polytechnic, Ilaro, Nigeria

*Oyegoke Adebisola Adebola,*

Yaba College of Technology

*Idowu Afolasade Florence,*

Gateway ICT Polytechnic Saapade, Nigeria

*Aderemi Timothy Ayomitunde*

Bells University of Technology, Ota, Nigeria

Doi:10.19044/elpl.v8no2a1

[URL:http://dx.doi.org/10.19044/elpl.v8no2a1](http://dx.doi.org/10.19044/elpl.v8no2a1)

Submitted: 11 February 2021

Accepted: 20 April 2021

Published: 30 June 2021

Copyright 2021 Author(s)

Under Creative Commons BY-NC-ND

4.0 OPEN ACCESS

## Abstract

Corona virus otherwise known as COVID-19 has left indelible marks on both human beings and businesses globally. When the virus emerged in Wuhan, China in late 2019, it was viewed as China's problem. Nobody ever envisioned that the novel virus could spread and result into global pandemic within few months. Meanwhile, the aftermath effects of COVID-19 on various socio-economic activities might not be different from global financial crisis of 2008, which left no economy unaffected. Against this backdrop this study was carried out with a view to investigating the impact of microfinance credit on entrepreneurship development amidst COVID-19 pandemic using some selected small and medium enterprises engaging in essentials such as food and consumables, oil and gas and pharmaceuticals in Sango-Ota industrial estate of Ogun state, Nigeria. Primary data were collected with the aid of well-structured set of questionnaire from 100 SMEs which was done purposively. After subjecting the collected data to a rigorous analysis, it was discovered that over 90% of enterprises under investigation used microfinance credit for their businesses during COVID-19 pandemic. Similarly, the study submitted that micro credit increased the stock of goods of the selected and at same time orchestrated a moderate rise in profit for the majority of the SMEs selected for the survey. Consequently, the important findings that originated from this study brought the following recommendations for the policy makers, financial institution and all the stakeholders engaging in SMEs in Nigeria that credit from micro finance has the capacity to facilitate the development of entrepreneurship through expansion of outputs and profitability of SMEs in Nigeria. And as such, the policymakers in Nigeria should create an enabling environment that will facilitate microfinance institution to provide better credit and other financial facilities to SMEs in the country.

**Keywords;** Microfinance Bank, SMEs, COVID-19, Pandemic and Nigeria

**JEL Classification:** M20; M21

## **1.Introduction**

The impact of small and medium scale enterprises on national development in Nigeria cannot be undermined (Okoh, 2020; Aderemi *et al.*, 2019; Tehseen & Ramayah, 2015). In terms of job opportunities, SMEs engaged over 70% of the Nigerian working population (NBS, 2015). In view of the above, both the public and the private sectors in Nigeria need to be involved in the development of entrepreneurial activities in the country. This is because SMEs are the foundation of industrial revolution in any society as they are capable of bringing national development through creation of goods and services, reliable sources of income, reduction of poverty and job creation. Meanwhile, one of the persistent problems militating against excellent performance of SMEs in Nigeria is a difficulty in accessing finances from formal financial institutions, because these enterprises lack substantial assets that could be utilized as collaterals for their loans. It is on this basis the Central Bank of Nigeria in 2001 attempted to provide a lasting solution to the problems of SMEs financing by making it compulsory for banks to set aside 10% of their gross profit to the financing and advancement of SMEs in Nigeria. In the same vein, further efforts to break the bottlenecks confronting SMEs financing in the country led to the emergence of microfinance bank in 2007.

However, corona virus otherwise known as COVID-19 has left indelible marks on both human beings and businesses globally. When the virus emerged in Wuhan, China in late 2019, it was viewed as China`s problem. Nobody ever envisioned that the novel COVID-19 could spread and result into global pandemic within few months. Meanwhile, fast spreading of COVID-19 without hindrance informed restrictions of non-essential travelling, goods and services among the nations, consequently resulted into the global lockdown of the world economy (WHO, 2020). The aftermath effects of COVID-19 on various socio-economic activities would not be far different from global financial crisis of 2008, which left no economy unaffected. In Nigeria like other countries, the novel virus led to various restrictions to movement of people, supply chain of goods and services, which in turn metamorphosed to the closure of non-essential factories and dwindle in production. It is important to stress that the closure of enterprises would definitely spell doom for aggregate demand and supply in which its negative ripple effects are likely to make generation of income difficult for SMEs in the country.

Consequently, in this critical period of pandemic, investigating the roles of micro financing in providing financial escape routes for SMEs is highly essential. Micro financing is supposed to create the conducive climate for the intermediation of fund from the surplus sides of the economy to the deficits parts. Nevertheless, past empirical studies have shown that SMEs in Nigeria have not fully accessed funding and essential financial services in the country (Van Rooyen, Stewart, & De Wet, 2012; Agbaeze & Onwuka, 2014; Suberu, Aremu, & Popoola, 2011, Oluseye (2014), kolawole (2013), and Lloyd and Robbins (2014). Against this backdrop, study that involves assessment of micro financing and SMEs performance becomes highly imperative in Nigeria. As a departure from the existing studies, this study examines the nexus between micro financing and SMEs development in Sango-Ota by answering the following research questions; how has micro financing impacted SMEs development in Sango- Ota, Ogun State? It is important to stress that the arrangement of this work is done as follows; the foundation of the study is laid in the introduction, while review of literature is presented in section two, section three addresses methodology, discussion of results and policy implication of the study respectively.

## 2.Literature Review

The emergence of novel corona virus has changed the dynamic of research in recent times. As the virus is ravaging the global economy on daily basis, efforts are being made on daily basis to flatten COVID-19 curve in various countries of the world. Generally, scholars in the field of social sciences are continuously bring out empirical evidence to substantiate the impact of COVID 19 on economy. In a study carried out by Aderemi *et al.* (2020), it was asserted that corona virus (COVID-19) spread to the African continent as negative side of globalization. In another study in Nigeria, Ozili & Arun (2020) argued that corona virus pandemic led to the dwindling of the Nigerian economy and thereafter caused economic hardship on the citizens of the country. While examining the nexus between Coronavirus (COVID-19) and small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in Pakistan, Ganale and Zafar (2020) posited that corona virus pandemic affected the operating activities of the firms in a negative manner and the same time caused a disequilibrium in supply chain and financial crisis in the selected enterprises. Meanwhile, in China, Europe and USA, Collins (2020) investigated how COVID-19 pandemic affected how stock market value behaved in those economies with the aid of a differential analysis modelling. It was discovered from the paper that dynamics in stocks markets were experienced in those economies due to COVID-19 pandemic. However, a similar study was carried out in Nigeria by Aderemi *et al.* (2020:2) who evaluated the contribution of corona virus pandemic to small and medium enterprises in the country. It was argued in the study that a moderate fall in production and sales of SMEs and the same time a sharp in fall of contracts and deliveries were motivated by COVID-19 lockdown in the country.

### 2.1 Relationship between Microfinance Bank, other Financial Institutions and SMEs

Oshiobugie and Ozoro (2015) employed a set of well-structured questionnaire to collect data in a study focusing on South-South, Nigeria with a view to estimating a relationship between banks, other financial institutions and entrepreneurial development. The results of the study posited that a significant direct relationship existed between banks, other financial institutions and promotion of entrepreneurship development in South-South region of Nigeria. Usman, Isah and Tanko (2018) used a conceptual review to analyze various policies embarked upon by the Nigerian government in achieving Equity Investment of the Small and Medium Enterprises in the country. The author submitted that Small and medium enterprises equity investment scheme (SMEEIS) had the capacity to provide a mechanism for the growth of the Nigerian economy if appropriately managed. Franca (2013) utilized chi-square test to investigate the relationship between credits from micro institutions and SMEs development in Anambra State. The study extracted data from 450 respondents with the conclusion that a significant linkage existed between credits from micro institutions and development of SMEs in the state under investigation. While carrying out a similar study in Lagos, Ashamu (2014) used a well-structured set of 110 questionnaire and application of descriptive statistics to investigate the nexus between SMEs performance and microfinance institutions in the state. The study discovered that within 3 years of operations of microfinance institutions in the state, the institution grew phenomenally owing to expansion of the activities of informal sector in the state. Also, it was discovered from the study that the reluctance of formal financial institutions in funding the emerging micro enterprises in one hand and the metamorphosis of the community banks to microfinance banks on the other hand were the principal factors driving the operations of micro financing in the state.

In the same vein, Ahmed (2015) investigated microfinance institutions and their challenges in combating poverty in Mogadishu, Somalia. The author employed the results from the survey to



argue that a microfinance institutions had a direct impact on poverty alleviation in the country. In another perspective, Olusanya, Sufian, and Temi (2014) sourced data from 100 respondents with the application of well-structured set of questionnaire to examine how microfinance bank impacted SMEs in one hand and how microfinance policy affected employment opportunities on the other hand in Nigeria. The authors utilized a Spearman correlation to conclude that microfinance bank and SMEs growth had a positive relationship. Meanwhile, microfinance policy had a significant impact on employment opportunities in Nigeria. In the same vein, Taiwo, Yewande, Edwin, and Benson (2016) researched the contributions of microfinance institutions in funding small businesses in Lagos state. The study made use of primary data from 15 small businesses across the state to submit that the contributions of micro-financing in promoting businesses was significant and thereby reduced the resource gap for small enterprises. In a related study, Okibo and Makanga (2014) adopted descriptive survey design and stratified sampling technique to evaluate the impact of microfinance institutions on reduction of poverty in Kenya. The authors concluded that microfinance institution rendered micro financing services such as granting of small loans to various women in helping them to start and grow businesses and at the same time educating their kids.

In conclusion, studies regarding the impact of micro financing on SMEs development have received attention of scholars in different parts of Nigeria. Therefore, extending this study to Sango-Ota, the industrial area of Ogun State in this critical period of pandemic is a major contribution to the literature. Hence, the relevance of this study.

### **3. Methodology and Data**

#### **3.1 Study Design**

This study involved the use of data from primary sources focusing on Sango-Ota industrial sub-hub of Ogun State. Few of the reasons why this location was chosen for the study are as follows; Sango Ota and its environs is characterized with the largest number of SMEs in Ogun State, Nigeria. In the same vein, Ogun State was among the three states that first went on total locked down in Nigeria because of COVID-19 pandemic.

#### **3.2 The Study Area**

Sango-Ota is veritable industrial city in Ogun State, Nigeria, with an estimated population of 163,783 in the last 2006 census. Sango-Ota is the capital of the Ado-Odo Ota Local Government Area. The Local Government has the largest number of industries in the state. The local government contributes the highest internally generated revenues in Ogun state. Sango-Ota has one of the largest concentration of industries in Nigeria. (Aderemi *et al.*, 2020:2, P.254).

#### **3.3 Analytical Method**

In addressing the objectives of this study, impact of microfinance bank was measured by the volume of credit disbursed to SMEs while, SMEs development was measured by volume of trade engaged by these enterprises and profitability. Other important variables that study factored in are as follows; ownership structure of the enterprise, sectoral distribution and annual sales turnover. In the same vein, 100 SMEs who are active customers of microfinance banks and at the same time engaging in essential goods and services were purposively selected for the study.

#### 4. Analysis and Presentation of Data

##### 4.1 Section 1: Background Information about the Surveyed SMEs

**Table 1: Classification of Respondents by Sector/Business**

Sector/Business	Frequency	Percentage (%)	Valid %	Cumulative %
Food and Consumables	63	63	63	63
Oil and Gas	21	21	21	84
Pharmaceuticals	16	16	16	100
Total	100	100.0	100.0	

Source: Field Work (2020)

In table 1, it has been shown that 63% of the SMEs are engaging in food and consumables, 21% of the selected business are involved in oil and gas and 16% pharmaceuticals related enterprise. The implication of this is that the majority of the participants in this study engaged in food and consumables which is one the strongest basic necessities of life.

**Table 2: Ownership Structure of the Selected Enterprises**

Sector/Business	Frequency	Percentage (%)	Valid %	Cumulative %
Sole Proprietorship	43	43	43	43
Partnership	18	18	18	61
Private Limited Company	21	21	21	82
Public Limited Company	18	18	18	100
Total	100	100.0	100.0	

Source: Field Work (2020)

Table 2 shows the ownership structure of the selected SMEs as follows; 43% of the selected SMEs claimed to be sole proprietorship, 18% identified as partnership, 21% identified as private limited company and 18% submitted as public limited company. This shows that the majority of the respondents are one man enterprises.

**Table 3: Classification of Enterprises (Annual Sales Turnover)**

Annual Sales Turnover (Naira)	Frequency	Percentage (%)	Valid %	Cumulative %
1 million	19	19	19	19
2 million	14	14	14	33
3-5 million	36	36	36	69
6-10 million	25	25	25	94
11 million and above	6	6	6	100
Total	100	100	100	

Source: Field Work (2020)

In recognizing the financial strength of each of the selected SMEs, the study examined

the annual sales turnover of the enterprises and reported as follows; 19% of the enterprises have annual turnover of 1 million naira, while 14% have annual turnover of 2 million naira. In the same vein, 36% and 25% of the selected SMEs have 3-5 million naira and 6-10 million naira turnover respectively. But 6% has 11 million naira and above annual turnover. This implies that the majority of the selected SMEs has a minimum of 3 million naira and maximum of 10 million naira as annual turnover.

**4.2 Section B: Impact of Microfinance Bank`s Credit on SMEs Development**  
**Table 4: Make Use of Micro financing Loans during COVID-19 Lock down.**

Using Microfinance Loans	Frequency	Percentage (%)	Valid %	Cumulative %
Not at all	8	8	8	8
To a slight extent	10	10	10	18
To a moderate extent	32	32	32	50
To a considerable extent	29	29	29	79
To a great extent	15	15	15	94
To an extreme extent	6	6	6	100
Total	100	100	100	

Source: Field Work (2020)

The above table shows that 8% of the selected firms did not make use of microfinance credit during COVID-19 lockdown. However, 10% used microfinance credit slightly during COVID-19 lockdown, 32% used it moderately, 29% used it in a considerable manner, 15% used it to a larger extent and it is only 6% used it extremely. This shows that over 90% of the selected SMEs used microfinance credit for their business during COVID-19 pandemic.

**Section C: Outputs of SMEs Affected by Micro Financing Credit**  
**Table 5: SMEs` Stocks of Goods**

Increment in Stocks after Loans	Frequency	Percentage (%)	Valid %	Cumulative %
Not at all	3	3	3	3
To a slight extent	12	12	12	15
To a moderate extent	39	39	39	54
To a considerable extent	32	32	32	86
To a great extent	10	10	10	96
To an extreme extent	4	4	4	100
Total	100	100	100	

Source: Field Work (2020)

In table 5, the analysis of impact of microfinance credit on the stocks of the enterprises

was presented. Consequently, 3% of the selected SMEs argued that the microfinance credit has not led to increment in their stocks. Conversely, 12% of the SMEs claimed that their stocks increased slightly due to microfinance credit. 39% claimed moderate increment, 32% submitted that their stocks increased considerably, 10% experienced a large increment and 4% enjoyed extreme increment in their stocks. This implies that micro financing credit increased stock of goods of the selected SMEs during COVID-19 lockdown.

**Table 6: SMEs` Profitability**

Increment in Profitability after Loans	Frequency	Percentage (%)	Valid %	Cumulative %
Not at all	3	3	3	3
To a slight extent	13	13	13	16
To a moderate extent	45	45	45	61
To a considerable extent	23	23	23	84
To a great extent	10	10	10	94
To an extreme extent	6	6	6	100
Total	100	100	100	

Source: Field Work (2020)

Table 6 shows impact of microfinance credit on SMEs profitability as described below; 3% of the SMEs argued that their profitability did not increase after using microfinance credit.

On the other hand, 13% claimed slight increment in profitability, 45% claimed a moderate increment. While, 23% and 10% submitted considerable and great increment respectively. But

6% of the SMEs reported extreme profitability increment. The implication of this is that microfinance credit brought moderate rise in profit for the larger number of the SMEs selected for the survey.

## 5. Summary, Conclusion and Recommendation

This study was carried out with a view to investigating the impact of microfinance credit on entrepreneurship development amidst COVID-19 pandemic using some selected small and medium enterprises engaging in essentials such as food and consumables, oil and gas and pharmaceuticals in Sango-Ota, Ogun state, Nigeria. Primary data were collected with the aid of well-structured set of questionnaire from 100 SMEs which was done purposively. After subjecting the collected data to a rigorous analysis, it was discovered that over 90% of enterprises under investigation used microfinance credit for their business during COVID-19 pandemic. Similarly, the study submitted that micro credit increased the stock of goods of the selected SMEs during COVID-19 lockdown and at same time credit from micro finance bank brought a moderate rise in profit for the majority of the SMEs selected for the survey.

Consequently, the important findings that originated from this study led to the following recommendations for the policy makers, financial institution and all the stakeholders engaging in SMEs in Nigeria that credit from micro finance has the capacity to facilitate the development of entrepreneurship through expansion of outputs and profitability of SMEs in Nigeria. And as such, the policymakers in Nigeria should create an enabling environment that will facilitate microfinance

institution to provide better credit and other financial facilities to SMEs in the country.

### **5.1 Limitation of the Study**

It is imperative to state that this study is restricted to Sango-Ota industrial suburb of Ogun state, Nigeria. Therefore, the limitation of this study to only this area was largely due to lack of funding. Hence, its generalization is limited. However, for the purpose of increasing and improving the generalization of this study in Nigeria and Africa by extension, the authors could carry out further empirical studies in all Local Government Councils of Ogun State, all States in Nigeria or all Countries in Africa, if FUND is readily available.

## References

- Aderemi, T.A., Adeniran, A. A, Amusa, B.O. & Ebere, C. E. (2020). Globalization and Corona Virus (COVID-19) Pandemic; the Vulnerability of Africa is the Opportunity of China. *Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review*, 9(2), 27-30.
- Aderemi, T. A., Lucas, B. O., Okoh, J. I. & Efunbajo, S. A. (2020). Impact of Corona Virus (COVID-19) Pandemic on Small and Medium Scale Enterprises (SMEs) in Nigeria: A Critical Case Study. *ACTA Universitatis Danubius*, 16(4), 251-261.
- Aderemi, T.A., Tolulope, A. C., Adedayo, A. & Arinola, B. L. (2019). Entrepreneurship Financing and Nation Building in Nigeria: Evidence from Agricultural Small and Medium Scale Enterprises. *Management Studies and Economic Systems*, 4(4), 315-330.
- Agbaeze, E. K., & Onwuka, I. O. (2014). Impact of microcredit on poverty alleviation in Nigeria—The case of Enugu East Local Council. *International Journal of Business and Management Review*, 2(1), 27-51.
- Ahmed, M. D. (2015). The challenges facing microfinance institutions in poverty eradication: A case study in Mogadishu. *International Journal of Humanities Social Sciences and Education (IJHSSE)*, 2(2), 5662.
- Ashamu, S. O. (2014). The impact of micro-finance on small scale business in Nigeria. *Journal of Policy and Development Studies*, 289(1849), 1-15.
- Collins C. N. (2020). Effect of COVID-19 Pandemic on Global Stock Market Values: A Differential Analysis. *ACTA Universitatis Danubius*. 16(2), 261-275.
- Franca, N. (2013). The impact of micro credit institutions on the development of small and medium enterprises in Anambra State. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management*, 14(5), 75-81.
- Ganale, M. A. & Zafar, F. (2020). Impact of COVID-19 (Coronavirus) on Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Pakistan. *Small and Medium Enterprises Development Authority (SMEDA). SMEDA Research Journal View project*.
- Kolawole, S. (2013). Role of microfinance in Nigeria economy. Retrieved from [www.preshstore.com](http://www.preshstore.com).
- Lloyd, E. J., & Robbins, I. (2014). National microfinance policy and credit accessibility by micro, small and medium entrepreneurs in Nigeria. *Journal of Good Governance and Sustainable Development in Africa (JGGSDA)*, 2(2), 18-30.
- NBS (2015). Presentation of Labour Statistics Based on Revised Concepts and Methodology for Computing Labour Statistics in Nigeria. Available at [www.nigerianstat.gov.ng/pages/download/285](http://www.nigerianstat.gov.ng/pages/download/285) (accessed on 20 October 2015).
- Okibo, B. W., & Makanga, N. (2014). Effects of micro finance institutions on poverty reduction in Kenya. *International Journal of Current Research and Academic Review*, 2(2), 76-95.
- Okoh, J. I. (2020). Boosting the Nigerian Economy through Small and Medium Scale Enterprises` Financing. *KIU Journal of Social Sciences*, 6(3), 343-353.
- Olusanya, S. O., Sufian, B. J., & Temi, O. A. (2014). Can microfinancing improve small and medium scale enterprises in Lagos State, Nigeria? *IOSR Journal of Economics and Finance (IOSR-JEF)*, 3(3), 49-56.
- Oluseye, Y. O. (2014). *Microfinance in broader perspectives* (2nd ed.). Belgium: Grawhill press limited.
- Ozili, P & Arun, T. (2020). Spillover of COVID-19: Impact on the global economy. Retrieved from <https://mpr.ub.uni-muenchen.de/99850/>
- Suberu, O. J., Aremu, O. S., & Popoola, E. G. (2011). The impact of microfinance institutions on the development of small scale enterprises in Nigeria. *Journal of Research in International Business Management*, 1(8), 251-257.
- Taiwo, J. N., Yewande, O. A., Edwin, A. M., & Benson, K. N. (2016). The role of microfinance

institutions in financing small businesses. *Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce*, 21(1), 1-20.

Tehseen, S. and Ramayah, T. (2015). Entrepreneurial Competencies and SMEs Business Success: The Contingent Role of External Integration. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 6(1), 50-61.

Usman, H., Isah S. & Tanko, A. I. (2018). The Role Financial Institutions in Financing Small and Medium Enterprises in Nigeria. *IIARD International Journal of Economics and Business Management*, 4(4), 82-90.

Van Rooyen, C., Stewart, R., & De Wet, T. (2012). The impact of microfinance in sub-Saharan Africa: A systematic review of the evidence. *World Development*, 40(11), 2249-2262.

# FISCAL POLICY TRANSMISSION CHANNELS AND MACROECONOMIC VARIABLES PERFORMANCE IN NIGERIA

**Jolayemi Lydia. Bose. (Ph.D Economics, OAU IFE)**

**Akinlo Anthony Enisan. (Professor of Economics OAU IFE)**

*Department of Economics, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife Nigeria.*

Doi:10.19044/el.p.v8no2a11

[URL:http://dx.doi.org/10.19044/el.p.v8no2a11](http://dx.doi.org/10.19044/el.p.v8no2a11)

---

Submitted: 20 April 2021

Copyright 2021 Author(s)

Accepted: 25 June 2021

Under Creative Commons BY-NC-ND

Published: 30 June 2021

4.0 OPEN ACCESS

---

## **Abstract**

The paper investigates the impact of fiscal policy channels on selected macroeconomic variables in Nigeria over the period of 1970-2018. The study employed the Bayesian approach of the Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium Model, after examining the prior and posterior mean values on the models specified. The paper established that channels of transmission from fiscal policy affected the performance of macroeconomic variables in the country that is, the instability on macroeconomic variables performances in Nigeria are highly influenced by the fiscal policy transmission channels. The study concluded that credit to the private sector, exchange rate, government spending and oil revenue were significant variables in Nigeria that need good policy measure for their performances. The paper recommends that there is a need for a sustained reduction in the fiscal policy channels as this helps in achieving sustainable development and improves variables performance. Also, since credit shock is the most active shock through which Fiscal policy channels transmitted to the economy, effort should be made to encourage banks to create more money in the economy to the private sector. And Central Bank of Nigeria should also pursue the government in financing credit availability in the country.

**Keywords:** Fiscal policy, Transmission Channels, Macroeconomic variables, DSGE.

## **1. Introduction**

In Nigeria, with the adoption of severe diverse policy measures, monetary and fiscal policies alike, evidence emanating from the various macroeconomic indicators reveal a wide gap between the achieved and the desired goals. For instance; dwindling Gross Domestic Product (GDP) affecting the country's productivities, continuous rise in poverty level (about 70% of the population lives below \$2/day), unabated increase in unemployment rate especially among the



youths, currency devaluation (₦465/1\$), low earnings from crude oil, continuous increase in the inflation rate (17%), high debt servicing among others are shreds of evidence of the low level of macroeconomic instability characterizing the country. According to the National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) 2020 data, GDP in Nigeria grew by 1.87% in the first quarter of 2020, which was low compared to 1.9% in the first quarter of 2018. Also, there is weakest growth rate witnessed in the second and third quarter of 2020 which led the country to another recession and lasted unto three consecutive quarters with -6.1% and -3.62%; thus, there exists uncertainty in the country's growth rate in Nigeria.

Only in 1998, GDP of ₦116.21 Billion was generated and ₦31.48Billion was received from grants. Such a huge amount of grant accrued to the country could simply be because the economy was stable, and revenue worth ₦29.21 billion was also generated internally. Between 2011 and 2013, the government total revenue increased significantly with ₦3410.1 Billion, ₦3572.52 Billion, and ₦3905.38Billion respectively. Looking at some years back, in the year 2014, 2015 and 2016, the GDP dropped to ₦3672.03, ₦2859.02 and ₦2471.81 Billion respectively, with significant effects on the citizen's life. It is imperative to note that, the dwindling GDP growth in Nigeria is characterized by a rising unemployment rate in the country. Recently, the director of African Development Bank Adesina said in his report that Nigerian youth needs to be invested in to boost the country GDP. As of the last quarter of 2020, Nigerian recorded a 33.3% unemployment rate which significantly affected the country's productivity.

The inflation rate in Nigeria was alarming has argued in the literature, supporting this fact, in the first quarter of 2021, the Food inflation rate in Nigeria was 22.3% in the first quarter of the year 2021 as reported by NBS. In the realm of external debt outstanding, the country's total debt servicing increased from ₦896.85 Billion in 2011 to ₦1.214 Trillion in 2020. The increment of course, not surprising could be attributed to lapses on the part of the government for not meeting the proposed annual budgeted revenue this pieces of evidence buttress some of the failure of macroeconomic policies in establishing the economy of Nigeria by justifying the needs for appropriate policy for sustainable development. The most harmful aspect is the current exchange rate which significantly affected the country during repayment because the majority of these debts was agreed upon by foreign currency.

Theoretically, the study presented by Cooper, 1992; and Taylor, 2009 explain the Keynesian framework that government expenditures (or tax cuts) could lead to an increase in growth. While there is little empirical evidence supporting this assertion, there is a large quantum of evidence that reduction in government spending impedes output growth in both developed and developing economies alike. Therefore proper fiscal consolidation is expected to have a positive influence on the macroeconomic variables if well managed. It is possible that adopting appropriate policy instruments and examining how their various channels are transmitted could have a differential impact on macroeconomic variables in Nigeria.

Over the years, the pursuance of the macroeconomic objectives of price stabilization, full employment, the balance of payment and economic growth had raised pertinent issues on the roles of macroeconomic policies and their transmission in both developed and developing economies alike. The controversy surrounding the effectiveness of macroeconomic policies is that; monetary and fiscal policies had generating diverse views. The issue remained unsettled in the literature even

till now. Sequel to the varied economic ideologies, two alternative viewpoints had emerged in the literature to bring justice to the controversy.

While the monetarists posited that effective monetary policies are crucial for sound macroeconomic policies, the fiscal analyst had continued to argue that continuous interventions of government through its fiscal policy instruments are relevant pathways to achieving the macroeconomic objectives. Thus, there exists a large quantum of research supporting this claim, for instance, studies by Adeoye (2006), Adefeso and Mobolaji (2012), Akinlo (2007), Ajisafe and Folorunso (2002), had lent support to the adoption of monetary policy. Also, Patrick and Sahuc (2016), Falade (2015), Fontana (2014), Munongo (2012); and Medee and Nenbee (2011) concluded in favour of the fiscal policy.

More so, the increasing concern on the huge debt profile of the country in the “re-building of the economy” policy goal of the Economic Recovery and Growth Plan (ERGP) (2017-2020) have birthed an improved interest in the role of fiscal policy in achieving macroeconomic objectives in Nigeria. Meanwhile, the relevance of fiscal policy in achieving the macroeconomic objectives has remained contentious in Nigeria. Studies such as; Ogbole *et al.*, (2011), Olukayode (2015), Nurudeen and Usman (2010), Audu (2012), Agu, Idike, Okwo and Ugwata (2014), Alex *et al.*, (2014) and Asaju *et al.*, (2014) established positive impact of fiscal policy on macroeconomic activities in Nigeria, Kadir and Keskin (2015), Omitogun and Ayinla (2007) argued that there exists a negative nexus between fiscal policy and macroeconomic activities in Nigeria.

Also, studies in Nigeria have focused extensively on the economic growth objective while studies on other macroeconomic aggregates are sparse Omitogun & Ayinla 2007; Nurudeen & Usman 2010; Ogbole *et al.*, 2011; Medee & Nenbee 2011; Audu 2012; Agu, Idike, Okwo & Ugwata 2014; Alex *et al.*, 2014; Olukayode 2015; Agu *et al.*, 2014. Existing studies that examined fiscal policy and macroeconomic variables have focused on economic growth while giving less attention to fiscal policy channels impact on macroeconomic variables in Nigeria a number of the studies left out key variables like tax, debt and some relevant macroeconomic variables. Hence, this current paper filled the gap.

The objective of this paper is to examine transmission channels of fiscal policy and macroeconomic variables performance in Nigeria, with a view to understanding macroeconomic variables performance; the study contributes to the existing studies and goes beyond explaining the fiscal policy effects on economic growth or inflation and or some sectors of the economy but rather examine holistically all the variables of fiscal policy, the effectiveness of fiscal policy transmission channels on macroeconomic variables performance in Nigeria. Furthermore, this paper deviates from a popular stand of the literature on the methodology adopted and employed the New-Keynesian dynamic stochastic general equilibrium (DSGE) framework to the analysis fiscal policy. Several studies on fiscal policy are based on VAR and SVAR models. These models have been adjudged inadequate for empirical investigation due to the inherent weaknesses of limited information sets and inability to dichotomize between endogenous and exogenous policy actions, thus giving unreliable information on the analyzed policy (Saores, 2011). The choice of the Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium (DSGE) approach for the analysis of fiscal policy transmission mechanism in this paper is not unconnected to its established benefits over other methods of estimation as expounded by Peris and Saxegaard (2007). Apart from the introductory

aspect of the paper, the remaining sections of the paper are as follows; the literature review, methodology, results and interpretation, and the conclusion.

## 2. Review of Literature

In a panel of European Union and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development member countries, Mencinger (2016) explored the effect of fiscal policy transmission mechanism on economic activities using both linear and non-linear models. Exploring the size of the fiscal multiplier as well as the impact of debt during the financial crisis of 2007/2008, the estimates output showed that the responses of economic activities to fiscal policies in the sampled countries vary widely across regimes and models formulated. While the non-linear model yielded a positive and statistically significant coefficient, the non-linear model demonstrated a weaker negative effect in some horizons. Overall, the study concluded in favour of the Keynesian assumptions, with a recommendation for an increase in public consumption in the period of recession.

Furthermore, the study analyzed the existence or otherwise of the direct and indirect term affects increased by public debt on the growth of economic output in the merging and advanced EU economies. The results showed a robust statistically significant asymmetric effect of public indebtedness on the annual growth rate of GDP per capita, affirming the threshold effect of public debt on economic growth. In particular, the empirical findings revealed a significant positive economic growth effect of public debt at a lower level of public debt, while it became negative beyond a certain debt threshold point.

In another related study on the Euro Area, Patrick and Saha (2016) assessed the impact of fiscal policy transmission mechanism on macroeconomic performance. Employing both the DSGE and VAR models, the study corroborated the stance that the Edgeworth complementarity is a better representation of the transmission mechanism of fiscal policy in European countries. Also, in a contribution to the debate on macroeconomic policy- economic growth nexus, Wilson and Regine (2015) in a study covering the period of 2000 to 2015 investigated the impact of fiscal policy on conduct and transmission mechanism of monetary policy in Rwanda. Analyzing the direct and indirect effects, the empirical estimates of the structural Vector Autoregressive (SVAR) model the results showed a positive association between a shock in fiscal deficit and inflation, upholding the argument that government fiscal policy affects the conduct and transmission of monetary policy.

Analyzing the mediating role of uncertainty in the transmission mechanism of fiscal policy, Rosoiu (2014) examined the interaction using annual time series on the Romanian economy between 2000 and 2014. Using the SVAR, the research output of the baseline model revealed among many other things that omitting uncertainty in the evaluation will yield biased estimates. Moreover, the results showed that shock in government spending does not positively influence output growth. Wilson and Regine (2015) analyzed the effects of fiscal policy on the conduct and transmission mechanism of monetary policy in Rwanda. The authors analyzed both the direct and indirect effect of fiscal policy on the conduct of monetary policy and monetary transfusion channels in Rwanda covering the period from 2000 to 2015 using the structural Vector Autoregressive (SVAR) model, intending to determine the effect of fiscal policy on monetary policy. The results showed that a shock in fiscal deficit is positively interlinked with inflation through direct channel supporting the proposition that fiscal policy influences the conduct and

transmission of monetary policy in Rwanda and other countries with similar economic characteristics.

In a review of both theoretical and empirical investigation of fiscal policy transmission mechanism using a descriptive method, Fontana (2014) showed the paucity of evidence and mixed diversity of the findings. Commenting further, the author criticized the commonly used method of estimation - structural vector autoregressive (SVAR) – as being limited, with a call for further research and more sophisticated technique analysis. Although Ranzy and Shapiro (1998) used SVAR and find that consumption eventually falls in response to shock from government spending and the result showed a negative effect of taxes on wealth supporting the neoclassical view. The author concluded that though there was a result that reveals negative for some countries like Japan, the US and UK, it is important to note the effect of government spending is small when uncertainty is controlled.

Chian (2017) examined the impact of adverse oil price shocks in oil-trading countries under alternative exchange rate regime and fiscal policy plans. The examination analyzed the viability of fiscal policy in a different situation and the roles of oil reserves and institutional quality in lessening procyclicality and macroeconomic volatility in oil-sending out countries. The outcomes demonstrated that, despite the tried and true way of thinking, fiscal multipliers are not smaller in countries generally open to exchange and budgetary streams and operating under flexible exchange rates, rather the interaction of the size of fiscal multiplier and the three components of openness that are, trade openness, capital mobility and exchange rate flexibility influences the reaction of the real exchange rate and degree of monetary policy convenience. Finding emphasizes the imperativeness of the interaction between fiscal and monetary policies in understanding the fiscal transmission mechanism. The results further revealed that output and government consumption decreased, as expected, but the responses are smaller and smoother in nations with the flexible exchange rate and oil reserves.

In a related report, using the New-Keynesian DSGE, Keshab (2017) explored the effect of fiscal policy shocks on macroeconomic variables in the United Kingdom. The resultant findings of the analysis affirmed that the output-effect of government consumption and investments are huge in the short run, the effect of capital income tax and public investment is higher in the long run. The paper likewise showed that the adequacy of fiscal policy diminishes in a small open-economy situation and that normal rigidity improved the viability of government spending and consumption taxes which in turn decreases income taxes. Ilzetzi (2011) found a reverse reaction of output to increases in government consumption in developing economies. In particular, the output is found to ascend with a lag of two to four quarters in response to the shock in government consumption and contrary to popular opinion, the cumulative reaction of output in developing countries is not statistically different from zero. Besides, the study found that increases in government consumption are more transient (dying out after approximately six quarters) in developing economies as opposed to exceptionally determined government consumption shocks in high-income countries.

### **3. Methodology**

The theoretical framework for this paper was based on the New Keynesian model

originated from an expansion of the standard real business cycle framework; this paper extends the Real Business cycle setup by presenting some Keynesian characteristics including imperfect competition and sticky prices among others, together with the provision that gives room for policy to be central to macroeconomic instability. New Keynesian assumptions state that the inclusion of imperfect competition in the goods market by assuming that the household is the owner of the firm. Also, in this model, the firm on its own produces differential goods and as well sets the prices accordingly. Also, it poses certain limitations, such that prices are constant for adjustment mechanism and assume that only a fraction of firm can properly reset the prices at any period given. For this work, major actors identified are; households who make consumption and production decision, bear the tax burden, participated in money demanding and bonds activities. Firm on its own demanded labour to produce goods and as well render services, and also remit taxes; and government, which primarily focus on fiscal policy operations

$$\hat{y}_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 E_t \hat{y}_{t+1} + \alpha_2 E_t \pi_{t+1} + \alpha_3 (\hat{r}_t^n - v_t) + \alpha_4 e_t + \alpha_5 c_t + \alpha_6 g_t + \alpha_7 T_t + \alpha_8 db_t + \alpha_9 i_t + \mu_{1t} \quad (1)$$

$$\pi_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 E_t \hat{y}_{t+1} + \beta_2 E_t \pi_{t+1} + \beta_3 (\hat{r}_t^n - v_t) + \beta_4 e_t + \beta_5 i_t + \beta_6 c_t + \beta_7 T_t + \beta_8 g_t + \beta_9 db_t + \mu_{2t} \quad (2)$$

$$g_t = \rho_0 + \rho_1 g_{t-1} + \rho_2 (1 - \rho_1) g_{t+1} - \rho_3 e_t + \rho_4 y_t + \rho_5 db_t + \rho_6 T_t + \rho_7 \pi_t + \rho_8 P o_t + \mu_{3t} \quad (3)$$

$$r_t = \varphi_1 i_{t-1} + \varphi_2 \pi_t + \varphi_3 y_t + \varphi_4 p_t + \mu_{4t} \quad (4)$$

$$c_t = y - \theta i + \mu_{5t} \quad (5)$$

$$e_t = (1 - \alpha) s_t + \mu_{6t} \quad (6)$$

$$ms_t = \sigma_t ms_{t-1} + \mu_{7t} \quad (7)$$

$$P o_t = \delta_8 p o_{t-1} + \mu_{9t} \quad (8)$$

$$db_t = \rho db_{t-1} + \mu_{14t} \quad (9)$$

$$T_t = \rho T_{t-1} + \mu_{14t} \quad (10)$$

$$x_t = \rho_x x_{t-1} + \mu_{10t} \quad (11)$$

$$u_t = \rho_u u_{t-1} + \mu_{11t} \quad (12)$$

$$n_t = \rho_n n_{t-1} + \mu_{12t} \quad (13)$$

$$w_t = \rho_w w_{t-1} + \mu_{13t} \quad (14)$$

Where  $y$  is the output gap in time  $t$ ,  $r$  is real interest rate in period  $t$ ,  $\pi$  denotes rate of the inflation in period  $t$ ,  $e$  signifies real exchange rate in period  $t$ ,  $c$  stands for credit to the private sector in period  $t$ ,  $\hat{r}_t^n - v_t$  represents monetary policy in period  $t$ ,  $P o_t$  is the revenue from oil,  $ms$  is the money supply in period  $t$  and  $t+1$  represent leads of relevant variables.  $\alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_4, \beta_5, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \rho_0, \dots$  are the parameters identified to be estimated.

Equation (1) denotes the aggregate demand function is an enhanced version of the standard New Keynesian Euler equation for consumption, which is linked theoretically to household utility optimization. Output gap has characteristics of forward-looking components. The lead (is the output gap and inflation measure level of habit information in the economy. Equation (2) is an improved New Keynesian Philips curve that captures the aggregate supply in the economy. The equation depicts that the inflation rate is not only influenced by the past but also by the future inflation, real exchange rate, real interest rate amount of credit to the private sector by commercial banks, naira price of oil. Equation (3) represents the expenditure role of the government, which is estimated by its lag and lead values, the nominal exchange rate, the output gap, the revenue from

oil and domestic inflation. Equation (4) represents the monetary policy rule of the role of the Central Bank and is modelled as a modified Taylor's rule following the work of (CBN, 2017;; Gali, Clarida and Gertler 1999).

Equation (5) represents a credit to private sectors; Equation (6) expresses money supply to the economy. Equation (7) expresses the exchange rate as a function of terms of trade. Nigeria is a small open economy and, as a result, the nominal exchange rate is included to express the degree of openness and pass-through effect on the output gap through the prices of imports and exports. Equation (8) represents the oil price/revenue function which captured the effect of external shocks on the Nigerian economy. Equation (9) and (10) represent public debt and taxes in the model. Equation (11) is an identity that is used to transform the model in order to satisfy the Blanchard Kahn condition while equation (12) to (14) are variables that are exogenously determined but are anticipated to be generated by an autoregressive process of order 1 known as (AR 1).

Quarterly data on Nigeria spanning from 1970:1 to 2018:4 was employed. The following macroeconomic variables were purposively selected which are relevant namely: output gap, interest rate, inflation rate, exchange rate, commercial bank credit to the private sector, terms of trade, oil revenue and fiscal policy variables are; government expenditure, public debt and taxes. Government spending is decomposed into expansionary and contractionary fiscal policy. The output gap was obtained by the Hodrick-Prescott filter. Data were filtered to estimate all causes of noise including outliers, trend and non-stationary in the series. The relevance of this is to ensure that, the model converges around its steady-state while determining the stability of the model. Data were obtained from the World Development Indicators (WDI) published by the World Bank and Central Bank Statistical (CBN) Bulletin. Data collected were analyzed using econometric techniques such as DSGE, impulse response and variance decomposition.


## **4.Results and interpretation.**

### **4.1 Prior Distribution**

The calibration process conducted enables proper understanding of the economic theory validity, established evidence about the Economic behaviour on the previous studies in the literature on the stage of choosing priors for the models. Relevant facts from the estimates of few studies on DSGE using the Bayesian approach for monetary-fiscal policy alike; serve as a building block for this paper while choosing the prior values for parameters. The priors for IS curve, Philips curve, government spending estimates were gotten from the work of Mordi *et. al* (2013), Apanisile, (2017), and CBN (2016). Table 1 presents the priors distribution for all the parameters estimated and the standard deviations of shocks and the priors distribution shape are presented in Figure 1.

Results revealed that the measure of inflation expectation impact on the output gap, expansionary fiscal policy, contractionary fiscal policy, exchange rate, interest rate, credit, public debt, and tax on the output gap followed beta distribution centred at 0.220 and 0.619 with a standard deviation of 0.01. The prior of output gap expectation, measures of expansionary fiscal policy on inflation, contractionary fiscal policy impact on inflation, exchange rate, interest rate, credit, tax, debt and oil revenue on inflation follow beta distribution, which revolves around the mean of 0.3 approximately, except for output expectation and tax which assumed the mean of 0.5 and above with standard deviation of 0.01 excluded contractionary fiscal policy which was 0.3.

Priors for output responses to government spending, public debt impact, tax, inflation, oil revenue and interest rate impacts on government spending were drawn from Justiniano and Preston (2010). Just like the previous author mentioned this study follows Gamma distribution with a mean revolved around 0.3 except for oil revenue and output which assumed a higher mean of 0.6 approximately and but all the parameters assumed a standard deviation of 0.05. The prior monetary policy was drawn from Liu and Mumtaz (2011) and Jinho and Joonyoung (2015). The priors for interest rate reaction to inflation, output and oil revenue follow Gamma distribution of mean 0.3 on absolute value with standard deviation 0.03. For the exogenous shock process, the stochastic shocks were calibrated. The study assumed inverse Gamma distribution using the mean of 0.5 for government spending, credit and oil revenue shocks, while aggregate demand, aggregate supply and exchange rate shocks assumed 0.06 mean. A standard deviation greater than 0.03 assumed for all the exogenous shocks except credit shock which assumed 0.3. Apart from the values presented in Table 1, the plotted prior distribution graphs assumed were examined. The simulation results carried out in this paper revealed steady-state exists in the value of the endogenous variables in the model estimated. The graphical results were presented as showed in Figure 1. The curves show how the economy reacted to the stochastic shock. On the y-axis, you can see a deviation from the steady-state (the steady-state of the stochastic is 0). The red line represents the steady-state, while the x-axis represents periods.



**Figure 1: Endogenous Variables' response to Orthogonal shocks**

#### 4.2 Posterior Estimates

The paper implements the random walk Metropolis-Hastings (MH) algorithm and simulates 10,000 draws from the posteriors. 80 out of the available 192 data points were selected

covering between 1997:4 and 2018:4. 66.156701 is the log data density value. The posterior results were presented in Table 1, containing priors mean, the posterior mean, the prior standard deviation and the confidence interval for the estimated parameters and standard deviation shocks. The posterior mean and 95% interval estimates of the model parameters are concise in Table 1. It was observed that the posterior estimates are substantially changing across the assumed priors for some parameters, even with the shocks inclusive. Four different equations were estimated namely Dynamic IS equation, New Keynesian Philip curve equation, government spending equation and Taylor rule equation


**Table 1: The Priors and Posterior of the Parameters Estimated**

Parameters	Density	Prior Mean	Posterior Mean	Standard Deviation	Confidence Interval at 95%	
$\alpha_2$	Beta	0.220	0.319	0.05	0.314	0.329
$\alpha_3$	Beta	0.619	0.626	0.01	0.612	0.626
$\alpha_4$	Beta	0.339	0.343	0.01	0.332	0.348
$\alpha_5$	Beta	0.500	0.136	0.10	0.078	0.176
$\alpha_6$	Beta	0.253	0.231	0.01	0.223	0.239
$\alpha_7$	Beta	0.290	0.210	0.07	0.171	0.247
$\alpha_8$	Beta	0.520	0.521	0.02	0.496	0.545
$\alpha_9$	Beta	0.350	0.351	0.01	0.341	0.357
$\beta_0$	Beta	0.232	0.234	0.01	0.195	0.210
$\beta_2$	Beta	0.535	0.540	0.5	0.527	0.544
$\beta_3$	Beta	0.323	0.323	0.01	0.260	0.277
$\beta_4$	Beta	0.273	0.272	0.01	0.161	0.178
$\beta_5$	Beta	0.271	0.28	0.01	0.252	0.267
$\beta_6$	Beta	0.263	0.273	0.01	0.312	0.329
$\beta_7$	Beta	0.323	0.323	0.01	0.252	0.267
$\beta_8$	Beta	0.535	0.536	0.01	0.261	0.279
$\beta_9$	Beta	0.273	0.274	0.01	0.233	0.248
$\beta_{10}$	Beta	0.283	0.284	0.01	0.272	0.289
$\rho_2$	Gamma	0.343	0.434	0.01	0.422	0.437



$\rho_4$	Gamma	0.270	0.271	0.01	0.262	0.278
$\rho_5$	Gamma	0.253	0.252	0.01	0.243	0.259
$\rho_6$	Gamma	0.127	0.178	0.10	0.117	0.265
$\rho_7$	Gamma	0.626	0.627	0.01	0.613	0.629
$\rho_8$	Gamma	0.242	0.245	0.01	0.1599	0.319
<b>Parameters</b>	<b>Density</b>	<b>Prior Mean</b>	<b>Posterior Mean</b>	<b>Standard Deviation</b>	<b>Confidence Interval at 95%</b>	
$\varphi_2$	Gamma	0.263	0.151	0.01	0.1123	0.194
$\varphi_3$	Gamma	0.323	0.068	0.13	0.027	0.115
$\varphi_4$	Gamma	0.263	0.118	0.07	0.077	0.173
eps_o	Inverse G	0.538	0.3080	0.03	0.260	0.356
ps_k	Inverse G	0.560	2.0629	0.3	1.541	2.587
eps_x	Inverse G	0.060	0.0195	0.01	0.012	0.027
ps_w	Inverse G	0.061	0.0410	0.01	0.014	0.062
eps_p	Inverse G	0.505	0.1700	0.03	0.1030	0.273
eps_n	Inverse G	0.056	0.021	0.01	0.013	0.029

**Source: Author's Computation (2020) from Matlab 2015.**



**Figure 2: The Priors and Posterior of the Parameters Estimated Fiscal Policy Transmission Mechanism Channels and selected macroeconomic performance in Nigeria**

The Variance decomposition was used to determine the channels of fiscal policy on the performance of macroeconomic variables in Nigeria. The relative response of fiscal policy transmission channels to observable shocks from macroeconomic variables is presented in Table 2. The shocks captured in this paper include credit shock, exchange rate shock, aggregate demand shock, aggregate supply shock and government spending shock. Meanwhile, four relevant channels were identified. In the literature, fiscal policy channels have not been explicitly established as that of monetary policy channels but rather fiscal policy channels work through monetary policy channels, which guided the selection of fiscal policies channel in this paper.

**Table 2: Forecast Error Variance decomposition**

Periods	2-quarter					4-quarter					6-quarter				
Shocks &	Credit	Exchange	Aggregate demand	Aggregate supply	Govt spending	Credit	Exchange	Aggregate demand	Aggregate supply	Govt spending	Credit	Exchange	Aggregate demand	Aggregate supply	Govt spending

<b>Channel</b>									<b>pply</b>						
<i>Interest rate</i>	93.49	0.04	0.04	0.10	2.00	96.88	0.20	0.20	0.20	1.10	97.85	0.01	0.10	0.02	0.10
<i>Exchange rate</i>	79.61	0.01	0.40	0.10	2.00	88.87	0.01	0.02	0.10	1.03	91.77	0.03	0.20	0.04	1.00
<i>Govt spending</i>	36.31	0.02	0.10	0.02	51.48	64.98	0.02	0.04	0.01	28.29	74.70	0.01	0.03	0.01	20.43
<i>Output</i>	71.30	0.10	0.12	0.20	11.04	82.00	0.10	0.10	0.10	7.51	85.82	0.01	0.10	0.01	5.93

<b>Periods</b>	<b>8-quarter</b>						<b>10-quarter</b>					
<b>Shocks &amp; Channels</b>	<b>Credit</b>	<b>Exchange</b>	<b>Aggre demand</b>	<b>Aggr SS</b>	<b>Govt exp</b>		<b>Credit</b>	<b>Exchange</b>	<b>Aggr demand</b>	<b>Aggr supply</b>	<b>Govt exp</b>	
<i>Interest rate</i>	98.29	0.01	0.10	0.02	0.9		98.53	0.01	0.10	0.20	0.50	
<i>Exchange rate</i>	93.21	0.03	0.14	0.03	1.00		94.06	0.03	0.13	0.03	1.00	

<i>Govt spending</i>	79.36	0.01	0.02	0.01	16.66	82.26	0.01	0.02	0.01	14.48
<i>Output</i>	87.89	0.01	0.10	0.01	5.07	89.17	0.01	0.10	0.01	4.54

Table 2, summarizes the mean forecast error variances of each horizon identified from quarter 2 up to 10 quarters. It is important to note that forecast error variance decompositions of credit shocks explain most of the variation in output, exchange rate, government spending and interest rate in all the quarters considered. All the variables were heavily driven by credit shock.

From the forecast error variance decompositions of monetary policy aspect, a common discovery across the quarters is that from short-to-long-run variances are driven mainly by credit shocks and seconded by government expenditure shock. It was observed that more than 93% of monetary policy variations at the 2-quarter horizon were accounted for by credit shocks. The variation increases gradually up till the 10-quarter to 98%. Credit shock is an important factor that explains the fluctuations in interest rate.

The first panel represented the amount of forecast error variance of different shocks which were transmitted to the economy through the interest rate channel. The results showed that credit shock and government expenditure shock accounted for the highest magnitude of the shocks that were transmitted via the interest rate channel between the second and tenth quarter. The magnitude of shocks transmitted through interest rate varied between 93 per cent and 2 per cent in the 2-quarter for these variables. And 96 per cent and above 1 per cent shocks were transmitted in the fourth period, while in the 6-quarter 97 per cent and 1 per cent were transmitted through the interest rate channel. Lastly, 98 per cent and about 99 per cent shocks were transmitted to the economy by credit in the eighth and tenth period respectively. The results imply that with interest rate channels, the availability of credit both in the home and abroad influences fiscal policy since budget financing will require more money expansion that could be useful to finance investment.

The magnitude of an aggregate demand shock, aggregate supply shock and government spending shock transmitted through the interest rate channel remained constant between period six and ten. Out of the five shocks examined through which the interest rate channel is transmitted, only credit shocks and government spending performed as expected. Although the shocks from credit were increasing and the government spending shocks were decreasing. Therefore, credit shock, government spending shock work through interest rate channels, the finding justify the fact that the fiscal policy channel works through monetary policy.

Regarding the behavior of the exchange rate, it is clear from all the quarters considered that a noticeable structural change occurs with a steady increase in the responses of the shocks from credit.

This could be as a result of some economic crisis experienced in Nigeria for some of the period examined which significantly affected exchange rate dynamics. In particular, credit shock is the main sources of exchange rate instability. Apart from the credit shocks, government expenditure shock contributed limitedly to the variation in the exchange rate over the sample period. This implies that exchange rate dynamics over the sample period is largely ascribed to credit shock. Particularly, the dramatic depreciation of the Nigerian currency around the global economic and financial crisis periods contributed persistently to the increase in borrowing. Borrowing was one of the breakthroughs for Nigeria steps out of the recent financial crisis in 2015-2016 and the 2020 Covid-19 pandemic.

The second panel in the results presented the fraction of forecast error variance of different shocks that were transmitted to the economy through the exchange rate channel and how the variations were explained. In period two, it was observed that credit shock and government expenditure shock revealed to be the most important shocks transmitted to the economy via the exchange rate channel. About 79 per cent of variations from the exchange rate were explained by credit shock in the second quarter while government expenditure shock accounted for 2 per cent of the variation from the exchange rate over the study period. Apart from the credit shock, aggregate demand shock happened to the next reacted shock through which the exchange rate channel transmitted to the economy with 0.40 per cent.

The magnitude of credit shock transmitted via the exchange rate channel increased in the fourth period to 88 per cent while that of government expenditure decreased to about 10 per cent. Surprisingly, the magnitude of exchange rate shock and aggregate supply shock explained through the exchange rate channel remained constant in the second and fourth period. Although exchange rate shock increased in the sixth period from 0.01 per cent to 0.03 per cent, the magnitude remained static till the tenth period. Unlike the behaviour of other shocks, the magnitude of credit shock augmented from 91 per cent in the sixth period to 94 per cent in the tenth period. However, the magnitude of government spending shock revolves around 1 per cent and above in the sixth period and remained constant until the tenth period. Therefore, it can be deduced that aggregate supply and exchange rate shock produced less effect on the economy through the exchange rate channel. Their magnitudes were insignificant in the long run but credit shock and government expenditure shock affect the economy through the exchange rate channel, most importantly, credit shocks affect the economy through the exchange rate channel.

On the government spending channel, the proportion of forecast error variance of various shocks transmitted to the economy through government expenditure is presented. Results showed that credit shock and government expenditure shock appeared to be the significant shocks through which government spending channel performed. The magnitude of government expenditure shock transmitted through its channel in the second period was the highest magnitude with about 51 per cent, after which in the four-quarter to tenth quarter, the variations decreased significantly from 51 per cent in the second period to 14 per cent in the tenth period. Those quarters where government expenditure accounted for high variations from the government spending were regarded as the period the Nigerian economy enjoyed a balanced budget and the economy was not financed with credit. But as the economy major sources of revenue fail, that is the fall of crude oil, the government expenditure accounted for less variance from the government spending. However, credit shock accounted for an increase in the total variations explained from 2-quarter to 10-

quarter.

The aftermath effect of a collapse in the price of crude oil in the international market with a substantial negative impact on the Nigerian economy becomes debatable among the researchers; this was as a result of a clash in the macroeconomics variables performance. However, the magnitude of credit shock to government spending channel amended from 64.98 per cent in the fourth period to 82 per cent in the tenth period. Therefore, this paper can conclude from the results that, only credit shock affects the economy through government spending channel significantly in the long run while other shocks only lasted for a short period. By implication, credit shock works for government spending channel in the Nigerian country. But other shocks, most of the government expenditure finances are sponsor via credit facilities. Furthermore, through the government spending channel, the study discovered that \ there are external shocks identified that could affect fiscal policy performance which will be elaborated in the next segment of this research work.

From the output channel which was the last examined, the proportion of forecast error variance of various shocks transmitted to the economy through the output channel is discussed. Results showed that credit shock, oil revenue shock and government spending shock appeared to be the significant shocks transmitted through the output channel. The magnitude of credit shock transmitted through the output channel in the second period was 71 per cent and government spending shock explained 11 per cent of the total variations from the output. In this sense, credit shock contributed significantly to the economy via the output channel. However, aggregate demand, exchange rate and aggregate supply shock shown a less significant effect on the economy through the output channel. The magnitude decreased till the last period. By implication, adopting fiscal policy may not only help in stabilizing fluctuations in the short run on the economy, but useful in the long run if properly managed. Also, an insignificant exchange rate means the exchange rate depreciates which could cause lower growth in the country.

The magnitude of credit shock to the economy through the output channel moved from 82 per cent in the fourth period to 89 per cent in the tenth period as shown in Table 2 this of course, strengthens the importance of the credit shock on the economy. Also, the magnitude of government spending shock decreased from 11 per cent in the second period to 4.54 per cent in the tenth period, credit shock accounted for the bulk of shocks transmitted via output channel throughout the study periods. In Nigeria, one of the fiscal policy channels is the output channel and this output channel works with credit shock and government spending shock.

In summary, through this study, several fiscal policy channels were identified. Among these channels considered, findings on the identification of fiscal policy channels revealed that interest rate channel, output channel, exchange rate and government spending channel are fiscal policy channels. To strengthen variance decomposition explained in Table 2, the graph illustrated the response of changes in parameter values over the interesting horizon across five (5) different periods examined. The graph presented shown economy reacted to the stochastic shock. On the y-axis of the graph, a deviation from the steady-state is presented; the paper observed that the steady-state value of the shock is zero. The X-axis represents the time and the shock has a value of the standard deviation of random variables. The curve is at the first corner right upper part of the plot. The shock value does not go straightaway to zero because the shock value partly relies on its value

from the previous period. Credit shock, government spending shocks, exchange rate shock and oil revenue shock were used to explain the performance of the macroeconomic variables in this paper.

## **5. Conclusion**

This paper investigated fiscal policy channels and macroeconomic variables performance in Nigeria using the Bayesian approach of the Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium model. In Nigeria, the expectation was that adoption of monetary-fiscal policy alike over the past decades should have placed the country closer to her level of development but unfortunately not yet, in fact, seems to be far from expectation when considering various macroeconomics variables and their performances. In finding some of the possible answers, this study has established that proper consideration of fiscal policy channels could be a possible solution towards improving the Nigerian economy. It was discussed that a critical review of various channels of fiscal policy could give a differential impact on macroeconomic performance, also, apart from the established channels of transmission from the fiscal-monetary policy in the literature, this paper identified another vital channel that is, government spending channel which was an essential discovery. The study concluded that credit to the private sector, exchange rate government spending and oil revenue were significant variables in Nigeria that need good policy measure for their performances. The paper recommends that there is a need for a sustained reduction in the fiscal policy channels as this helps in achieving sustainable development, and improves variables performance. Also, since credit shock is the most active shock through which fiscal policy channels transmitted to the economy, effort should be made to encourage banks to create more money in the economy to the private sector and CBN should also pursue government in financing credit availability in the country.

## References

- Adefeso, H. A., Hakeem, M., & Salawu, B. (2010). Fiscal Policy and Economic Growth in Nigeria: Testing the Prediction of the Endogenous Growth Model. *A Journal of Economic Theory*, 4(2), 37-43.
- Adeoye, T. (2006). Fiscal Policy and Growth of the Nigerian Economy: An Empirical Perspective. Ibadan: *NISER monograph series* 4(3).
- Agu, S.U., Idike, A.N, and Okwor, I.M. (2014). Fiscal Policy and Economic Growth in Nigeria: Emphasis on Various Components of Public Expenditure. *Singaporean Journal of Business Economics and Management Studies*, 2(12), 37-57.
- Ajisafe, R.A. and Folorunso, B.A. (2002). The Relative Effectiveness of Fiscal and Monetary Policy in Macroeconomic Management in Nigeria. *The African Economic and Business Review*, 3(1), 23-40. 81
- Akinlo, A. E. (2007). *The dynamics of money, output and prices in Nigeria: A Paper Presented at the Central Bank of Nigeria 2007 Executive Policy Seminar.*
- Apanisile O. T. (2016), “Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium Approach to Monetary policy Analysis and Channels of Transmission in Nigeria 1986-2013”. Doctoral thesis submitted to Dept. of Economics, Faculty of social sciences, OAU, Ile-Ife.
- Asaju, K., Adagba, S.O., and Kajang, T.J. (2014). The Efficacy of Fiscal Policy in Promoting Economic Growth and Reducing Poverty In Nigeria. *Research in World Economy*, 5(1), 65-74.
- Alex, E. O. & Ebieri, J. (2014). Empirical analysis of the impact of fiscal policy on economic Growth in Nigeria. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, 6(6)
- Audu, N. P. (2012). The impact of fiscal policy on the Nigerian economy. *International Review Sciences and Humanities*, 4(1), 142-150
- Central Bank of Nigeria (2017), Fiscal policy at a Glance, online copy of the paper presented by Director, Monetary Policy Central Bank of Nigeria, pp. 1-344
- Central Bank of Nigeria (2016), “Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium (DSGE) Model for monetary policy analysis in Nigeria. ISBN 978-978-53 289-0-5, pp 1-101.
- Chian Wee Koh (2017), *Essays in Empirical Macroeconomics*, online copy of the Thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of philosophy of the Australian National University
- Cooper, J. P. & Fisher, S. (1973). Stabilization Policy and Lags. *Journal of Political Economy*, 81(1).
- Falade, O. E. & Folorunso, B. A. (2016). Fiscal and monetary policy instruments and economic growth sustainability in Nigeria. *American Journal of Economics*, 5(6), 587-594. Doi:10.5923/j.economics.20150506.04
- Fontana Giuseppe (2014), The transmission mechanism of fiscal policy: a critical assessment of current theories and empirical methodologies, *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics*, 31:4, 587-604,
- Galí, J., López-Salido, J.D., Vallés, J., (2007), Understanding the effects of government spending on consumption. *J. Eur. Econ. Assoc.* 5 (1), pp. 227–270.
- Ivan T. (2015) Fiscal Policy and Economic Growth-The Crisis Aftermath, *Bulgarian Economic paper*, ISSN: 2367-7082, pp. 1-23
- Justiniano and Preston (2010). Monetary Policy and uncertainty in an empirical small open-economy model. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, vol.25, issue 1,
- Jinho Choi & Joonyoung Hur (2015), An examination of Macroeconomic fluctuations in Korea exploiting a Markov-switching DSGE approach. *Sciencedirect, Elsevier. Economic Modelling* vol. 51, pp. 183-199



- Kadir Karagöz and Rıdvan Keskin (2015), Impact of Fiscal Policy on the Macroeconomic Aggregates in Turkey: Evidence from BVAR Model, *Procedia Economics and Finance* 38 (2016) pp 408 – 420. Istanbul Conference of Economics and Finance, ICEF 2015, Istanbul, Turkey.
- Keshab Bhattarai and Dawid Trzeciakiewicz (2017). Macroeconomic Impacts of fiscal policy shocks in the UK: A DSGE Analysis. *Economic Modelling*, Elsevier, vol 61 (C), pages 321-338
- Liu Philip and Mumtaz Haroon (2011). Evolving Macroeconomic Dynamics in a small open Economy: An Estimated of Markov-switching DSGE model for the United Kingdom, Bank of England working paper, No. 397.
- Medee, P. N. & Nembee, S. G. (2011). Econometric Analysis of the Impact of Fiscal Policy Variables on Nigeria's Economic Growth (1970-2009). *International Journal of Economic Development Research and Investment*, 2(1), 171-183.
- Mercinger, J. (2016). Impact of the Fiscal Policy Transmission Mechanism on Economic Activity. Doctoral Dissertation submitted to Faculty of Economics, University of Ljubljana, online version
- Munongo, S. (2012). Effectiveness of Fiscal Policy in Economic Growth. *International Journal of Economic Research*, 3(6), 93-99.
- Mordi Charles O. (2013). Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium Model for Monetary Policy Analysis in Nigeria. Submitted to Research Department, Central Bank of Nigeria.
- Nurudeen, A., & Usman, A. (2010). Government expenditure and economic growth in Nigeria, 1970-2008: A disaggregated analysis. *Business and Economics Journal*, 2(4), 1-11.
- Ogbole, F.O., Amadi, S.N., & Essi, I. D. (2011). Fiscal Policy and Economic Growth in Nigeria: a Granger Causality Analysis. *American Journal of Social and Management Sciences*, 356-359.
- Olukayode, E. (2015). Fiscal policy and economic growth: A study of Nigeria. *Journal of Economics and sustainable development*, 4(2)
- Omitogun, O. & Ayinla, T. A. (2007). Fiscal Policy and Nigerian Economic Growth. *Journal of Research in National Development*, 5(2), 19. 87
- Patrick, F. & Sahuc, J. (2016). In search of the transmission mechanism of fiscal policy in Euro Area. *Admin working paper series*, WP2016/028, 1-58.
- Peiris S. J. & Saxegaard M. (2007). An Estimated DSGE Model for Monetary Policy Analysis in low-income Countries. IMF Working Paper Wp/07/282
- Soares, F. S. & Ireiro p. (2011). The interaction of monetary and fiscal policy: The Brazilian case. *Modern Economic*, 2(2), 114-123, doi:10.4236/me2011.22016
- Taylor, J.B. (2009). The lack of an empirical rationale for a revival of discretionary fiscal policy. *Am. Econ. Rev.* 99 (2), 550–555
- Wilson Kruhanga K. and Nyirakanani Regine (2015). Effects of Fiscal Policy on Conduct and Transmission Mechanism of Monetary Policy in Rwanda. A study conducted by common market for Eastern and Southern Africa Monetary Institute (CMI). Pg 1-48