

REVIEW HISTORY

Paper: "Linguistic Movements in Hong Kong: Linguistic Nationalism and Cultural Hegemony"

Corresponding Author: Fung-Ming Hui

Email: jayjaynopehui@gmail.com

Doi: 10.19044/elj.v8no1a13

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Keith Joseph Zukas

University of Wisconsin-Whitewater, USA

Reviewer 2: Yahaya Yakubu

Nile University of Nigeria

Published: 31.03.2021



ELP Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ELP promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ELP editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ELP out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 2/5/21	Date Review Report Submitted: 2/5/21
Manuscript Title: Linguistic Movements in Hong Kong: Linguistic Nationalism and Cultural Hegemony	
Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5



<i>Just needs lowercase letters after “Linguistic” in the title for correct style.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	2
<i>The object of the paper is clear, but no methods or results are mentioned. Some of the introduction begins to explain the methods, but not in the abstract section.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>The paper is well written and has few errors. In the beginning though, the words “Novels” and “Newspapers” are capitalized and they should not be.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
<i>There really is no explanation of methods in this pieces. The Case Analysis section examines the cases, but it does not explain the standards for that evaluation before doing so.</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	5
<i>(The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>The conclusion is clear and supported, but a discussion could further it more.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>References are comprehensive and appropriate.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X



Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:



**EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF
ECONOMICS, LAW and POLITICS**

ELP Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021



This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ELP promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ELP editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ELP out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: January 20 2021	Date Review Report Submitted: February 7, 2021
Manuscript Title: Linguistic Movements in Hong Kong: Linguistic Nationalism and Cultural Hegemony	
Manuscript Number: Not stated	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
------------------	--



1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	
<i>The paper seems precise, however needs structural, grammatical corrections.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	
<i>Abstract falls short of providing relevant background info about the research, methods or findings</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	
<i>Recommend Proof Read</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	
<i>Yes</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	
<i>No</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	
<i>Adequate but could be improved</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	
<i>Will require more references</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	



Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:



**EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF
ECONOMICS, LAW and POLITICS**

