REVIEW HISTORY

Paper: "Responding to Climate Change and the Role of Environmental law"

Corresponding Author: Vu Thị Duyen Thuy

Email: thuyvtd@hlu.edu.vn

Doi: 10.19044/elp.v8no3a1

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Robert N. Diotalevi

Published: 30.09.2021



ELP Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ELP promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ELP editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ELP out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 8/24/21

Manuscript Title: RESPONDING TO CLIMATE CHANGE AND THE ROLE OF ENVIRONMENTAL LAW

Manuscript Number:

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No



You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Rating Result

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
4
4
4
5
5
5



(Please insert your comments) See below	

Overall Recommendation(mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	X
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Good detail and treatment of the topic. Well written overall. Sentences and paragraphs are run-on format. Sources could be employed more effectively within the text.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:



EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF ECONOMICS, LAW and POLITICS

